

Clinical anatomy mentorship program influence on OB/GYN anatomy knowledge: a pilot study

Abigail Hartmann, BA; Riley Mickelsen, BS; Parmida Shahiri, BS; Alice Campton, BA; Stephanie Amaya, MD; Rachel DiTeresi, MD

The University of Kansas School of Medicine Kansas City, KS



Background

- Recently, The University of Kansas School of Medicine restructured their anatomy education as a result of the implementation of a new curriculum.
- Anatomy education during preclinical years has minimal focus on surgical manipulation. Thus, it can be challenging for medical students to feel prepared for the operating room during their surgical clerkships.
- To increase preparedness, nearpeer teaching of a hysterectomy was instituted.

Research Questions

To analyze the impact of the inaugural clinical anatomy mentorship program on third year medical students' gynecologic surgical anatomy knowledge.

Methods and Materials

A convenience sample of 19 third year medical students entering the OB/GYN clerkship underwent tutoring by fourth year students including a presentation and anatomy visualization on softembalmed cadavers and prosections. Students took pre- and post-mentoring quizzes. A two sample, two tail t-test was performed, as was a chi square analysis on passing rates defined as 65%.



Conclusion

- The new anatomy mentorship program did not result in a statistically significant increase in anatomy quiz scores. However, student perception of the workshop was extremely positive indicating it to be a useful educational tool.
- This study was limited by a small power, which may miss a statistically significant increase in quiz scores.
- Next steps include continuing to teach and obtain data in future clerkships to increase the power of the study.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. George Enders for his guidance and support creating this project.



18 pre- and 19 post-quizzes were analyzed; 1 incomplete pre-quiz was excluded.

- Pre- and post-test averages were 62.96% (SD ±0.19%) and 68.00% (SD ±0.17%), respectively, t(35)= -0.7687, p=0.44.
- Nine students passed the pre-test and fifteen passed the post-test, χ^2 (1, N=37) =0.0984, p >0.50.

100% indicated the workshop was helpful.

Results